	Page
	IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN
Susan	Doxtator, Arlie Doxtator,
	rah Wunderlich, as
_	l Administrators of the
Estate	of Jonathon C. Tubby,
	Plaintiffs,
vs.	File No. 1:19-cv-00137-WCG
	'Brien, Andrew Smith,
	. Delain, Heidi Michel,
_	f Green Bay, Brown
_	, Joseph P. Mleziva,
	K. Winistorfer, Thomas
_	, Bradley A. Dernbach,
and Jo	hn Does 1-10,
	Defendants.
	DEPOSITION OF SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER
	DEPOSITION OF SERGEANT MIRE RNEIZGER
ראיידי.	July 10, 2020
DAIL.	oury 10, 2020
TTME.	2:26 p.m.
	2.20 p.m.
PLACE:	Green Bay City Hall (* Witness Location *)
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	100 North Jefferson Street
	Green Bay, Wisconsin 54301
	. ,
REPORT	ED BY: PAULA K. RICHTER, RMR, CRR, CRC

Page 2	Page 4	
1 APPEARANCES	1 INDEX	
2 ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS:	2 WITNESS: SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER PAGE:	
3 Mr. Forrest Tahdooahnippah, Esq. (By videoconference)	3 EXAMINATION BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH 5	
4 DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP	4	
5 50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500	5	
6 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-1498	6 KNETZGER EXHIBITS MARKED: PAGE:	
7 (612) 340-2600	7 EXHIBIT 1 Green Bay Police Department	
8 forrest@dorsey.com	8 Policy 303 - Control Devices	
9	9 and Impact Weapons 59	
10 ON BEHALF OF THE BROWN COUNTY DEFENDANTS:	10 EXHIBIT 2 Video from Scene, 53:33 in	
11 Mr. Jose A. Castro, Esq. (By videoconference)	11 length, DOXT DA0000062 62	
12 CRIVELLO CARLSON, SC	12	
13 710 North Plankinton Avenue, Suite 500	13 (Original exhibits attached to original transcript;	
14 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53203	14 copies provided to counsel.)	
15 (414) 271-7722	15	
16 jcastro@crivellocarlson.com	16 WARYCH EXHIBITS PREVIOUSLY MARKED AND REFERRED TO:	
17	17 EXHIBIT 1 Amended Notice of Rule 30(b)(6)	
18 ON BEHALF OF THE GREEN BAY DEFENDANTS:	18 Deposition of City of Green Bay 9	
19 Mr. Gregg J. Gunta, Esq.	19 EXHIBIT 4 Partial Deposition of Colton	
20 GUNTA LAW OFFICES, SC	20 Wernecke	
21 9898 West Bluemound Road, Suite 2	21 EXHIBIT 11 Green Bay Police Department	
22 Wauwatosa, Wisconsin 53226	22 Policy 300 - Use of Force,	
23 (414) 291-7979	23 DEF 000001467-00001 - 7 43	
24 gjg@guntalaw.com	24	
25 (APPEARANCES continued on next page)	25	
Page 3	Page 5	
1 APPEARANCES (Cont.)	1 PROCEEDINGS	
2 ALSO PRESENT (By videoconference):	2 (Attorneys stipulate that the court	
3 - Vanessa Chavez, Esq Green Bay City Attorney	7 1	
5 - Valiessa Chavez, Esq Green Day City Attorney	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.)	
4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City		
	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.)	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 	 3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 	
4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City5 Attorney's Office	 3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 	 3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record.	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 8 - Arlie Doxtator 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record. 10 A. Mike Knetzger.	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 8 - Arlie Doxtator 9 - Sarah Wunderlich 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record.	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 8 - Arlie Doxtator 9 - Sarah Wunderlich 10 11 12 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record. 10 A. Mike Knetzger. 11 Q. All right. Knetzger? Am I saying it 12 correctly?	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 8 - Arlie Doxtator 9 - Sarah Wunderlich 10 11 12 13 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record. 10 A. Mike Knetzger. 11 Q. All right. Knetzger? Am I saying it 12 correctly? 13 A. That's correct.	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 8 - Arlie Doxtator 9 - Sarah Wunderlich 10 11 12 13 14 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record. 10 A. Mike Knetzger. 11 Q. All right. Knetzger? Am I saying it 12 correctly? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. All right. And it's Sergeant Knetzger?	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 8 - Arlie Doxtator 9 - Sarah Wunderlich 10 11 12 13 14 15 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record. 10 A. Mike Knetzger. 11 Q. All right. Knetzger? Am I saying it 12 correctly? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. All right. And it's Sergeant Knetzger? 15 A. Yes.	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 8 - Arlie Doxtator 9 - Sarah Wunderlich 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record. 10 A. Mike Knetzger. 11 Q. All right. Knetzger? Am I saying it 12 correctly? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. All right. And it's Sergeant Knetzger? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. All right. Well, thanks for your time today,	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 8 - Arlie Doxtator 9 - Sarah Wunderlich 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record. 10 A. Mike Knetzger. 11 Q. All right. Knetzger? Am I saying it 12 correctly? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. All right. And it's Sergeant Knetzger? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. All right. Well, thanks for your time today, 17 Sergeant. Have you ever had your deposition taken	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 8 - Arlie Doxtator 9 - Sarah Wunderlich 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record. 10 A. Mike Knetzger. 11 Q. All right. Knetzger? Am I saying it 12 correctly? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. All right. And it's Sergeant Knetzger? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. All right. Well, thanks for your time today, 17 Sergeant. Have you ever had your deposition taken 18 before?	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 8 - Arlie Doxtator 9 - Sarah Wunderlich 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record. 10 A. Mike Knetzger. 11 Q. All right. Knetzger? Am I saying it 12 correctly? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. All right. And it's Sergeant Knetzger? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. All right. Well, thanks for your time today, 17 Sergeant. Have you ever had your deposition taken 18 before? 19 A. Yes.	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 8 - Arlie Doxtator 9 - Sarah Wunderlich 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record. 10 A. Mike Knetzger. 11 Q. All right. Knetzger? Am I saying it 12 correctly? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. All right. And it's Sergeant Knetzger? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. All right. Well, thanks for your time today, 17 Sergeant. Have you ever had your deposition taken 18 before? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. About how many times?	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 8 - Arlie Doxtator 9 - Sarah Wunderlich 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record. 10 A. Mike Knetzger. 11 Q. All right. Knetzger? Am I saying it 12 correctly? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. All right. And it's Sergeant Knetzger? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. All right. Well, thanks for your time today, 17 Sergeant. Have you ever had your deposition taken 18 before? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. About how many times? 21 A. I believe twice, but the one I recollect the	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 8 - Arlie Doxtator 9 - Sarah Wunderlich 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record. 10 A. Mike Knetzger. 11 Q. All right. Knetzger? Am I saying it 12 correctly? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. All right. And it's Sergeant Knetzger? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. All right. Well, thanks for your time today, 17 Sergeant. Have you ever had your deposition taken 18 before? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. About how many times? 21 A. I believe twice, but the one I recollect the 22 most was back in the mid-1990s.	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 8 - Arlie Doxtator 9 - Sarah Wunderlich 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record. 10 A. Mike Knetzger. 11 Q. All right. Knetzger? Am I saying it 12 correctly? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. All right. And it's Sergeant Knetzger? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. All right. Well, thanks for your time today, 17 Sergeant. Have you ever had your deposition taken 18 before? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. About how many times? 21 A. I believe twice, but the one I recollect the 22 most was back in the mid-1990s. 23 Q. And was that related to work in law	
 4 - Lindsey Belongea - Paralegal with Green Bay City 5 Attorney's Office 6 - Khalid Haleem - Law clerk with Dorsey & Whitney 7 - Susan Doxtator 8 - Arlie Doxtator 9 - Sarah Wunderlich 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	3 reporter can swear in the witness remotely.) 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, 5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 8 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state 9 your name for the record. 10 A. Mike Knetzger. 11 Q. All right. Knetzger? Am I saying it 12 correctly? 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. All right. And it's Sergeant Knetzger? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. All right. Well, thanks for your time today, 17 Sergeant. Have you ever had your deposition taken 18 before? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. About how many times? 21 A. I believe twice, but the one I recollect the 22 most was back in the mid-1990s.	

Page 6 Page 8

- 1 Q. All right. What kind of case was that?
- 2 A. It was a case involving a jeweler who had
- 3 staged a robbery and was suing a corporation.
- 4 Q. And you mentioned there was another
- 5 deposition. When was that?
- 6 A. It would be within the last ten years, I
- 7 believe, but I can't be for certain. I can't
- 8 recall.
- 9 Q. And was that related to your work in law
- 10 enforcement or was that some separate matter?
- 11 A. No. That would still be work.
- 12 Q. And what was that case about?
- 13 A. I can't recall.
- 14 Q. Did it relate to excessive force at all?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. All right. Was it a civil or criminal
- 17 matter?
- 18 A. Like I said, I can't -- I can't recall. I
- 19 had some significant trauma happen in the last ten
- 20 years. I just can't recall it.
- 21 Q. All right. Well, I'm sorry to hear that.
- 22 Since it's been a while since you've
- 23 had your deposition taken, I just want to kind of
- 24 go over a couple ground rules. Mr. Gunta is there
- 25 with you, and I'm sure he went over this with you,

- 1 Q. She can only write down what one person is
- 2 saying at a time. I'm going to ask questions.
- 3 Can you do me a favor and try your best to let me
- 4 finish my question before you start your answer?
- 5 A. Sounds good.
- 6 Q. Mr. Gunta is here. He may have some
- 7 objections. There's another attorney for Brown
- 8 County on the line, Mr. Castro. He may have
- 9 objections. So to prevent everyone from talking
- 10 at the same time, you may want to just pause and
- 11 give them some time for objections. Make sense?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. There isn't a judge on the call. Even though
- 14 there's quite a few people on this
- 15 videoconference, the judge isn't one of them, so
- 16 unless Mr. Gunta specifically tells you not to
- 17 answer a question, I will be expecting an answer
- 18 even if he objects. Make sense?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Is there anything about today -- oh, yeah,
- 21 another one that's important is that you
- 22 understand all my questions. So if you can't
- 23 understand my question, can you ask me to clarify?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And if you go ahead and answer without asking

Page 7

- 1 but just so that you know, there's a court
- 2 reporter here. She administered an oath to you a
- 3 moment ago.
- 4 Do you recall that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And she is going to transcribe everything
- 7 that we say in order to make a record. And that
- 8 has a few consequences, the first of which is, if
- 9 you want something to be on the record, you have
- 10 to say something as opposed to do something. A
- 11 common sample of this is shaking your head up and
- 12 down for yes and side to side for no. So instead 13 of like nodding yes, you have to actually open
- 14 your mouth and say "yes." Make sense?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Another consequence is that with certain
- 17 conversational phrases like "mm-hmm," "uh-uh,"
- 18 "uh-huh," you know, you can tell usually if you're
- 19 in the same room with someone and talking with
- 20 them if that means yes or no or what that means,
- 21 but when you read it in a transcript, it's just a
- 22 jumble of letters that no one can really decipher.
- 23 So instead of saying things like "uh-huh," you
- 24 have to say "yes" or "no." Understand?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 for clarification, I will just assume you have

- 2 understood the question. Is that fair?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Is there anything about today that would
- 5 prevent you from testifying truthfully?
- 6 A. No
- 7 Q. Is there anything about today that would
- 8 prevent you from testifying accurately?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Do you understand that you are here today to
- 11 testify as an organizational representative of the
- 12 City of Green Bay?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. All right. And there was -- you were in the
- 15 room during the testimony of Commander Warych,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. All right. Did he show you how to access the
- 19 exhibits that he was looking at?
- 20 A. He didn't show me, but I observed.
- 21 Q. All right. Can you go ahead and pull up what
- 22 was Exhibit Warych Number 1?
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Q. All right. Have you seen this before?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. All right. The fifth page has a heading
- 2 called "Topics."
- Do you see that?
- 4 A. Yes, I do.
- 5 Q. All right. My understanding is that you are
- 6 here today to talk about topics 3, 4, 5, and 6 as
- 7 it relates specifically to training.
- Is that your understanding also?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Are you here today, as far as you know, to
- 11 talk about any other topics besides 3, 4, 5, 6?
- 12 A. Potentially 11.
- 13 Q. All right. Any others?
- 14 A. No, I don't believe so.
- 15 Q. All right. You mentioned you're a sergeant.
- 16 What division are you in?
- 17 A. I'm in the patrol division.
- 18 Q. Do you have some sort of job responsibility
- 19 as it relates to training that makes you uniquely
- 20 qualified to talk about topics 3, 4, 5, 6?
- 21 A. I'm on the training units with the police
- 22 departments and I'm also a Department of Justice
- 23 trainer.
- 24 Q. What does it mean to be a Department of
- 25 Justice trainer?

- 1 A. Met with counsel and reviewed the materials
- 2 that were provided to me.
- 3 Q. Okay. What did you review?
- 4 A. It was a binder of materials, including some
- 5 testimony from Lieutenant Michael Jansen and then
- 6 other Green Bay policies and procedures.
- 7 Documents that were discussed today.
- 8 Q. Did you review anything else?
- 9 A. Just the Wisconsin DAT manual, the
- 10 professional communications manual and the
- 11 tactical response manual.
- 12 Q. The DAT manual, the professional
- 13 communications manual -- sorry. I'm trying to
- 14 keep up with my notes. What else?
- 15 A. Tactical response.
- 16 Q. All right. Any other manuals?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. And those three, those are Wisconsin
- 19 Department of Justice manuals?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Did you review the latest version of those
- 22 manuals or the version that was in effect in 2018?
- 23 A. The most recent versions, some of which were
- 24 in effect in '18 and some of which have been
- 25 updated.

- Page 11
- 1 A. I train in -- it's what's referred to as
- 2 unified tactics. Those are all of the tactical
- 3 disciplines within the State of Wisconsin
- 4 Department of Justice curriculum. And I've been
- 5 teaching academically and at the police academy
- 6 level for the past 20 years.
- 7 Q. When you say you've been teaching
- 8 academically, where do you teach or where have you
- 9 taught?
- 10 A. Northeast Wisconsin Technical College,
- 11 Rasmussen College, a college in St. Augustine,
- 12 Florida. It was a community college. Colorado
- 13 Technical University. And I've taught on various
- 14 campuses within the technical college system in
- 15 Wisconsin.
- 16 Q. How long have you been with the Green Bay
- 17 Police Department specifically?
- 18 A. I've been with the Green Bay Police
- 19 Department since January of 1997.
- 20 Q. And when were you promoted to sergeant?
- 21 A. I was one of the first batch of newly
- 22 promoted sergeants, which I believe -- we are in
- 23 2020 now, so 2018, I believe. Early 2018.
- 24 Q. All right. What did you do to prepare
- 25 yourself to testify at this deposition today?

- 1 Q. All right. Did you talk to anyone -- besides
- 2 counsel, either outside counsel, Mr. Gunta or his
- 3 staff or the city attorney's office, besides them,
- 4 did you talk to anyone in preparation for your
- 5 deposition?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Let's talk about the training regarding
- 8 search incident to arrest, topic number 3.
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. I would like you to look at an exhibit that
- 11 was previously marked as Exhibit Warych 4.
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. And if you look at about the third page of
- 14 the exhibit, this is just excerpts of a
- 15 deposition, so it says page 19 in the upper
- 16 right-hand corner.
- 17 Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. All right. This is Officer Wernecke's
- 20 testimony about how he was trained to conduct a
- 21 search incident to arrest. Do you know Officer
- 22 Wernecke?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Were you ever his instructor?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 14 Page 16

- 1 Q. When were you his instructor?
- 2 A. My best educated guess would be from 2016 or
- 3 '17 through '18 or '19.
- 4 Q. All right. So is that providing him
- 5 instruction at a community college or with the
- 6 Green Bay Police Department or both?
- 7 A. That would be both.
- 8 Q. All right. Were you his -- when he was at
- 9 the community college, what -- or the technical
- 10 college, excuse me, what subjects did you instruct
- 11 him on?
- 12 A. I don't recall the exact subjects that I
- 13 instructed him on.
- 14 Q. Would search incident to arrest have been
- 15 one?
- 16 A. I don't know. I would only be guessing.
- 17 Q. All right. When he was -- joined the Green
- 18 Bay Police Department, were you the one that
- 19 instructed him how to do a search incident to
- 20 arrest?

1 gives.

11 apart. 12

4 behind their back.

7 search incident to arrest?

13 that be the second step?

18 referring to now?

19 A. The DAT manual.

- 21 A. That would have been as part of our mini
- 22 academy, and I don't believe so.
- 23 Q. All right. I'd like to go through what he
- 24 said and find out if this is consistent with the
- 25 training that the Green Bay Police Department

He says -- the first thing he says

3 is the individual is handcuffed with their hands

Is that the first step of how a

6 Green Bay police officer is trained to conduct a

8 A. That's presuming that they're handcuffed,

10 Q. All right. Next he says their feet are moved

14 A. That -- the manual doesn't provide it in

16 So that would be an acceptable guideline.

20 Q. All right. So moving their feet apart,

23 of force policy adopts the DAT manual.

25 with the right half of the body from the

21 that's consistent at least with the DAT manual?

24 Q. He says next that when he searches, he starts

22 A. Yes. And the Green Bay Police Department use

17 Q. And which manual are you specifically

15 steps. The manual provides a set of guidelines.

Do you train your officers to have

- 1 perspective of standing behind the arrestee.
- Is that how officers are trained to
- 3 do a search incident to arrest?
- 4 A. Standing behind them? Yes.
- 5 Q. All right. How about starting with the right
- 6 half?
- 7 A. That is acceptable.
- 8 Q. Is it acceptable to start with the left half
- 10 A. And the Zoom connection broke up. If you
- 11 could just repeat the question.
- 12 Q. Yeah. Is it acceptable to start on the left
- 13 half rather than the right half?
- 14 A. Yeah. So it would all depend upon the
- 15 officer's relative positioning.
- 16 Q. So if they're behind the -- behind the
- 17 suspect, can they start at either half, or is
- 18 there a place they're supposed to start?
- 19 A. No. They can start on either half. The
- 20 scenario dictates everything.
- 21 Q. So when would you start left versus right?
- 22 A. You may have instances where you have two
- 23 officers who are searching an individual. You may
- 24 have assisted an officer to their feet and you
- 25 found yourself on their left side. You may be a

Page 15

- 1 left-handed officer that feels more comfortable
- 2 starting on the left side.
- 3 Q. All right. And is that the two acceptable
- 4 scenarios, starting left or right, or could you
- 5 also start top half, bottom half?
- 6 A. Absolutely. Those would all be acceptable.
- 7 Q. And he says he begins on the right half by
- 8 going over the chest area.
- Do you train your officers to start
- 10 on the chest area?
- 11 A. Again, they follow the guidelines of the DAT
- 12 manual, and that would be acceptable. They are
- 13 not trained in a specific methodology. They are
- 14 trained to follow the guidelines for a thorough
- 15 search. So this would follow that guideline.
- 16 Q. All right. Why don't you read this excerpt
- 17 of his deposition, page 19, lines 2 through 25,
- 18 and let me know if this is an acceptable search
- 19 under the DAT guidelines that you just discussed.
- 20 A. Would you like me to read it aloud or to
- 21 myself.
- 22 Q. You can go ahead and read it to yourself.
- 23 A. Okay. Based upon my review of the
- 25 acceptable.

24 transcript, his searching technique would be

Page 18 Page 20

- 1 Q. All right. Is there anything about his
- 2 searching technique that although acceptable, it
- 3 could use improvement?
- 4 A. Nothing that jumps out to me at this point,
- 5 no.
- 6 Q. All right. So officers are trained
- 7 specifically to check pockets during a search
- 8 incident to arrest; is that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And they are also trained to check the
- 11 waistband of a suspect?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And they are trained to check the ankles and
- 14 legs of suspects?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. They're trained to check shoes of suspects?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. How are they trained to check the shoes?
- 19 A. Again, the scenario is going to dictate the
- 20 action. So in some instances in the field, if you
- 21 have a cooperative individual, you may have them
- 22 take them right off in the field. You may -- you
- 23 could swipe alongside the shoe where the top of
- 24 the shoe meets the foot and the ankle area, or you
- 25 may very well wait until you're in a more

- 1 A. Concealed weapons? Yes.
- 2 Q. And because officer safety is on the line, is
- 3 search technique and protocol something that is
- 4 emphasized during police academy training?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And is it something that is also emphasized
- 7 again during the Green Bay Police Department's
- 8 mini academy training?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And is it important enough to continue to
- 11 emphasize during the ongoing training that
- 12 officers receive, their annual training?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And using the proper search technique, you
- 15 know, even small items like a pack of cigarettes
- 16 can be found. Fair?
- MR. GUNTA: Objection to the form of
- 18 the question.
- 19 Go ahead.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Are you asking me if
- 21 someone conducts a proper search they can find a
- 22 pack of cigarettes?
- 23 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 24 O. Yeah.
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 19

- 1 controlled environment such as the jail and
- 2 complete that aspect of the search.
- 3 Q. All right. And officers are also trained to
- 4 check the crotch area of an arrestee, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. How are they trained to do that?
- 7 A. They're trained to use either the back or the
- 8 blade of the hand.
- 9 Q. Right. And the same is true for the
- 10 buttocks?
- 11 A. Yes. Any sensitive area.
- 12 Q. And so these are obviously sensitive areas,
- 13 but they need searching nonetheless because these
- 14 are areas where weapons or contraband are often
- 15 hidden. Fair?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And all of these areas, whether it's the
- 18 pockets, ankles, shoes, buttocks, it's all -- one
- 19 of the primary purposes -- or some of the primary
- 20 purposes are to detect weapons and contraband,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And you would agree with Commander Warych
- 24 that it's a top priority for officer safety to
- 25 detect any sort of secretive weapons, correct?

- 1 Q. And did you know that Officer Wernecke, when
- 2 he conducted the search, he actually found a pack
- 3 of cigarettes on Mr. Tubby?
- 4 A. No, I'm not aware of that.
- 5 Q. Do you have any responsibility over the field
- 6 training program?
- 7 A. I'm a field training supervisor.
- 8 Q. All right. So field training officers,
- 9 they're supposed to know the proper search
- 10 protocols and techniques?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And they're supposed to ensure that their
- 13 trainees are conducting proper searches in the
- 14 field during their field training period?
- MR. GUNTA: Objection to form.
- 16 THE WITNESS: To the greatest extent
- 17 possible.
- 18 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 19 Q. All right. Does the Green Bay Police
- 20 Department -- or do Green Bay police officers
- 21 receive any training specifically on handling
- 22 arrestees that are resistive in the sally port
- 23 area of the Brown County Jail?
- 24 A. I do not recall training specific to that
- 25 scenario.

- 1 Q. Is there any training that Green Bay police
- 2 officers receive that is specific to the scenario
- 3 of an arrestee that is refusing to exit a squad
- 4 car?
- 5 A. In any environment?
- 6 Q. In any environment.
- 7 A. There is some advanced standing training that
- 8 some officers have received.
- 9 Q. All right. And how are they trained to
- 10 extract someone that is unwilling to exit the back
- 11 of the squad car?
- 12 A. So within the context of your scenario, are
- 13 they actively resisting?
- 14 Q. You know, why don't you tell me both ways.
- 15 If they're trained on passive resistance versus
- 16 active resistance, just tell me both scenarios.
- 17 A. Well, the only advanced standing training I'm
- 18 referring to would fall under someone who's
- 19 actively resisting.
- 20 Q. I didn't catch that last part. Sorry.
- 21 A. Would you like me to expand on that?
- 22 Q. Yes, please.
- 23 A. The training involves team tactics, which is
- 24 an essential element of the DAT system. It is a
- 25 technique that utilizes the forearm of an officer

- 1 or its threat.
- 2 O. And so is that -- are those instances
- 3 something that those officers were trained to do?
- 4 A. It would be a matter of training that occurs
- 5 in respect to on the job. It is a force option.
- 6 It is a dynamic application of a trained
- 7 technique. And I'm aware of it being used in the
- 8 field multiple times when someone is actively
- 9 resisting in order to get them safely out of the
- 10 car, to stop the active resistance.
- 11 Q. All right. Is it, in your opinion,
- 12 foreseeable that a firearm could be missed during
- 13 a search incident to arrest?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. All right. If it is foreseeable, do you have
- 16 any training that you provide officers on how to
- 17 deal with an armed subject that has been placed in
- 18 the back of a squad car due to a missed weapon
- 19 during a search?
- 20 A. You broke up just a little bit right at the
- 21 end of the question, so just repeat it one more
- 22 time so I've got the whole thing.
- 23 Q. Yeah. Maybe the --
- 24 MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Court reporter,
- 25 could you please read that back?

Page 23

- 1
- 2 can't bite or spit. And then they'll use multiple 2 the court reporter.)
- 3 officers, which is a team tactics philosophy, to

1 to just direct the head of the person away so they

- 4 safely remove the person from the vehicle while
- 5 controlling their legs, their torso and their head
- 6 and controlling their rate of descent to the
- 7 ground so they can then be controlled and the
- 8 active violent resistance can be stopped.
- 9 Q. So is it ever appropriate to force someone
- 10 out of the squad car -- or use force, excuse me.
- 11 Is it ever appropriate to use force to get someone
- 12 out of the squad car if it's just passive
- 13 resistance, under Green Bay's training?
- 14 A. Passive resistance -- merely saying "no"
- 15 should not be met with a force response.
- 16 Normally, we are going to use our professional
- 17 communication skills in order to be effective in
- 18 that regard.
- 19 Q. Does Green Bay ever train its police officers
- 20 to introduce OC spray into a squad car, or other
- 21 car, to force someone to get out if they're being
- 22 uncooperative?
- 23 A. There have been instances where OC spray has
- 24 been deployed in the back seat of cars with
- 25 individuals who are displaying active resistance

- (The preceding question was read by
- THE WITNESS: It is impossible to
- 4 create scenarios for every possible situation that
- 5 a law enforcement officer will face. But instead
- 6 they are given tactics that they are able to apply
- 7 to various situations. So officers are provided
- 8 with tactics to address people who may be armed in
- 9 confined spaces.
- 10 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 11 Q. Okay. And what are those tactics that
- 12 they're provided?
- 13 A. The concepts are tactics related to isolating
- 14 the potential threat, setting up perimeters and
- 15 then attempting to, you know, isolate the threat
- 16 to minimize harm to everybody involved within the
- 17 area.
- 18 Q. So isolating the threat, is that similar to
- 19 the concept of containment or is that something
- 20 that's distinct?
- 21 A. "Containment" would be another acceptable
- 22 word.
- 23 Q. So when you're -- just unpack the isolation
- 24 for me. What are you doing and what are you
- 25 trying to accomplish by isolating a potentially

Page 26 Page 28

- 1 armed subject?
- 2 A. When you -- when you're able to isolate or
- 3 contain a threat, you're better able to control
- 4 that moment. And the more that officers are able
- 5 to safely and effectively control the moment, the
- 6 greater chances you're going to have for a
- 7 peaceful resolution.
- 8 Q. So in other words, it's a known risk that if
- 9 you fail to isolate, there could be a violent
- 10 resolution?
- 11 MR. GUNTA: Objection to form.
- 12 You can answer the question.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I don't think that's a
- 14 fair characterization, because I've worked many
- 15 calls where we have isolated and safely resolved
- 16 events that have not been violent. Your comment
- 17 is more the exception to the rule.
- 18 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 19 Q. Well, the purpose of isolation you said was
- 20 to try to encourage peaceful resolution or
- 21 maximize the possibility, right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. So if you -- so just kind of the inverse of
- 24 that would be if you fail to isolate, there would
- 25 be an increased risk of a non-peaceful resolution,

- 1 an armed subject goes into the public who has
- 2 threatened to use the weapon on themselves or
- 3 others, they could be a significant imminent risk
- 4 to others in the public.
- 5 Q. Does the Green Bay Police Department use any
- 6 training scenarios involving barricaded subjects?
- 7 A. Yes, we have done training scenarios
- 8 involving barricaded subjects.
- 9 Q. Do you train your officers to create avenues
- 10 for surrender for barricaded subjects?
- 11 A. Yes. That is part of the planning.
- 12 Q. All right. And when you train your officers
- 13 to create an avenue of surrender, does that
- 14 include a physical space for the person to exit
- 15 the barricade?
- 16 A. So we don't -- it all depends upon the nature
- 17 of the operation, is going to dictate or drive
- 18 what the end result is going to be. So I can talk
- 19 to you about it from a SWAT perspective or a use
- 20 of force perspective.
- 21 Q. All right. Well, let's start with the SWAT
- 22 perspective.
- 23 A. So in the context of your question, a SWAT
- 24 team may do everything they can to drive the
- 25 person through the front door. They may -- they

Page 27

r

- 2 A. You will probably hear me say a lot of this
- 3 in my testimony today, but the scenario dictates
- 4 everything. So if you have an armed individual
- 5 that is armed and they are able to get out into
- 6 the public and harm other people, that would be a
- 7 very bad resolution.

1 a violent resolution?

- 8 Q. And so the purpose of isolating is to prevent
- 9 that from happening?
- 10 A. The purpose of isolation is control.
- 11 Q. Right. And so among the purposes of control
- 12 is to prevent bad things like a person going out
- 13 into public that's armed and dangerous. Fair?
- 14 A. That would be one -- that would be one reason
- 15 for it, yes.
- 16 Q. And other reasons for isolation is to prevent
- 17 harm to the officers that are responding, right?
- 18 A. It could be the officers. It could be other
- 19 individuals who are present. It could be other
- 20 individuals that may be near the armed subject.
- 21 Q. So suffice it to say that without isolation,
- 22 it's a known risk that there could be harm coming
- 23 to officers, the public or others that are in the
- 24 vicinity of an armed subject?
- 25 A. Again, dependent upon your scenario, yes. If

1 may do that with some chemical munitions, and then

- 2 they know that the person is hopefully going to
- 3 come out the front door, which is where we hope
- 4 they're going to surrender, and the arrest teams
- 5 can be properly prepared.
- 6 Q. When you're training to have -- force a
- 7 person out from a barricade, do you train to have
- 8 the arrest team ready in the event that the person
- 9 doesn't surrender?
- 10 A. Well, the job of the arrest team is to
- 11 arrest. So did you say in the event they do
- 12 surrender?
- 13 Q. They don't surrender.
- 14 A. No. The job of the arrest team is to arrest.
- 15 That is their job.
- 16 Q. So that's only if the person surrenders?
- 17 Then the arrest team comes in to make the arrest?
- 18 A. Yes. That is their role, presuming you have
- 19 enough personnel.
- 20 Q. Well, what is supposed to happen if you force
- 21 the person out the front door and they don't
- 22 surrender?
- 23 A. That is what your perimeter team is for.
- 24 Q. All right. And what is the perimeter team
- 25 supposed to do?

Page 30 Page 32

- 1 A. The perimeter team, their primary role is
- 2 containment.
- 3 Q. So in the situation we just talked about,
- 4 there's a barricade. They've been forced out.
- 5 They don't surrender. Is containment achieved
- 6 through nonlethal, lethal means? How are they
- 7 supposed to contain?
- 8 A. All of them could be an option, dependent
- 9 upon your scenario.
- 10 Q. In the situation where the BearCat is being
- 11 used to house the arrest team, do you train with
- 12 the door open, the rear door to the BearCat open
- 13 or closed?
- MR. GUNTA: Object to the form of
- 15 the question.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Again, the scenario is
- 17 going to dictate everything. I have been a part
- 18 of or managed operations where we've done it both
- 19 ways.
- 20 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 21 Q. Why would you -- it seems to me that having
- 22 the door open allows the arrest team to exit
- 23 expeditiously. Is that the advantage of having
- 24 the door open?
- 25 A. Yes, that would be an advantage.

- 1 Go ahead.
- 2 THE WITNESS: There are -- again,
- 3 there is no absolute answer to that. There are
- 4 instances where somebody could be incapacitated
- 5 and still pose an eminent threat. And you'd have
- 6 to define incapacitation.
- 7 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 8 Q. All right. How about secured? Is that a --
- 9 is that like a law enforcement phrase that you're
- 10 familiar with, that a suspect is secured?
- 11 A. It all depends upon the context of secured.
- 12 There can be many versions of it.
- 13 Q. Generally speaking, what does it mean to you?
- 14 A. Well, I can secure somebody in the back of my
- 15 car by opening the back door and having them sit.
- 16 They're secured. I can have handcuffs on them.
- 17 They're secured. It's more of a general phrase
- 18 that officers may use.
- 19 Q. All right. So does Green Bay train its
- 20 police officers to refrain from using deadly force
- 21 on a secured subject?
- 22 A. I don't believe that is a fair question,
- 23 because a secured subject can pose a deadly force
- 24 threat.
- 25 Q. All right. What if -- have you ever done a

Page 31

- 1 Q. So in what circumstances would you want the
- 2 door closed and what is that advantage?
- 3 A. Maybe you're traveling from one location to
- 4 another and anticipating that the arrest team is
- 5 then going to have to alight from the vehicle as
- 6 soon as they arrive at its location.
- 7 Q. Any other reasons that the door to the
- 8 BearCat would be closed, the rear door?
- 9 A. Other options might be maybe having it closed
- 10 affords some additional measures of cover because
- 11 the BearCat allows for cover.
- 12 Q. Any other reason you can think of that it
- 13 would be advantageous to have the rear door to the
- 14 BearCat closed?
- 15 A. Not off the top of my head.
- 16 Q. All right. Let's talk about the use of
- 17 deadly force. Are you familiar with the training
- 18 that's provided to officers on the use of deadly
- 19 force?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Does Green Bay train its officers to use
- 22 deadly force in the situation where a resistive
- 23 subject has been incapacitated?
- 24 MR. GUNTA: Object to form of the
- 25 question.

- 1 training scenario where someone is armed but their
- 2 arms are pinned underneath them and they can't
- 3 move their arms because they have either an
- 4 officer or something else heavy on top of them?
- 5 They're pinned down?
- 6 A. I've never done a training scenario like
- 7 that.
- 8 Q. Under the Green Bay's practices, do you think
- 9 it would be justified to use deadly force against
- 10 that type of person?
- MR. GUNTA: Object to the scope and
- 12 the form.
- Go ahead.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Again, the scenario
- 15 dictates everything, and I'll maintain my previous
- 16 answer. Just because a person is secure does not
- 17 mean they are not a deadly force threat. A number
- 18 of law enforcement officers in America lose their
- 19 lives every year with people who are quote/unquote
- 20 secured.
- 21 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 22 Q. How many did you say?
- 23 A. I don't have an exact number for you. But
- 24 I'm aware of one event in particular from the city
- 25 of Milwaukee from many years ago when officers had

- 1 secured a subject. He was handcuffed. He removed
- 2 a weapon while he was handcuffed. And when the
- 3 officers opened up the sally port door, the door
- 4 of the transport van, he shot the officers with
- 5 his hands secured behind his back.
- I'm also aware of Captain John Laux
- 7 of the Green Bay Police Department, who was nearly
- 8 disarmed by a man who was secured with his hands
- 9 behind his back with handcuffs on and was nearly
- 10 disarmed.
- 11 Q. All right. This incident with Captain Laux,
- 12 when was that?
- 13 A. Captain Laux is now retired. That would be
- 14 within the last 15 years.
- 15 Q. All right. And this incident in Milwaukee,
- 16 when was that?
- 17 A. I would say that was early -- early 1990s.
- 18 Q. All right. And you're aware that there's a
- 19 number of people every year that are unarmed and
- 20 lose their lives at the hands of law enforcement
- 21 officers, right?
- 22 A. That -- I heard "unarmed" and "law
- 23 enforcement."

3

5

6

4 form.

7 that.

2 every year also, right?

- 24 O. You're aware that there are a number of
- 25 people that are unarmed -- unarmed civilians that

1 lose their lives at the hands of law enforcement

MR. GUNTA: Object to scope and the

THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm aware of

- 1 form.
- 2 THE WITNESS: Officers are trained
- 3 that when an individual is under control, to
- 4 follow the follow-through considerations within
- 5 the DAT manual.
- 6 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 7 Q. So there in the DAT manual are something
- 8 called follow-through considerations?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And what does that mean, follow-through
- 11 considerations?
- 12 A. The officers check with themselves to make
- 13 sure they're okay. Now, we are of course
- 14 presuming some force has been used. Check with
- 15 the subject, make sure the subject is okay.
- 16 Render any appropriate aid. Turn over the subject
- 17 to the appropriate facility and then complete
- 18 their reports.
- 19 Q. Does the Green Bay Police Department train
- 20 its officers that once the threat from a resistive
- 21 subject is eliminated, they can no longer use
- 22 force?

Page 35

- 23 MR. GUNTA: Objection to form of the
- 24 question.
- 25 Go ahead.

Page 37

THE WITNESS: Once an individual is

- 2 under control, the level of force would -- should
- 3 be reduced accordingly. Just because they're
- 4 under control doesn't mean they're not posing a
- 5 threat. Officers can feel threats such as
- 6 resistive tension, that one might not be able to
- 7 see.

1

8 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:

Go ahead.

- 9 Q. And there's constitutional protections that
- 10 are afforded to citizens to prevent something like 10 under control, that the use of deadly force would
- 11 that. Fair?
- 12 MR. GUNTA: Objection to form.
- 13 THE WITNESS: You broke up at the
- 14 end. But if you're referring to people and their
- 15 constitutional rights, I completely agree.
- 16 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 17 Q. That they have constitutional rights. You
- 18 agree that they exist?
- 19 A. Absolutely.
- 20 Q. All right. So what training do you give to
- 21 officers to respect the constitutional rights of
- 22 people to be free from force once they've been
- 23 incapacitated or secured, especially if they don't
- 24 pose any further threat?
- 25 MR. GUNTA: Object to the scope and

- 8 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 9 Q. But suffice it to say that if someone is
- 11 not be justified. Agreed?
- 12 A. In the context of your scenario, if control
- 13 has been achieved, then deadly force shouldn't be
- 14 necessary.
- 15 Q. And you would agree that that's -- that would
- 16 be a clearly established constitutional right of
- 17 the person?
- 18 MR. GUNTA: Object to the form of
- 19 the question, calls for a legal conclusion,
- 20 outside the scope.
- 21 Go ahead and answer.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I think it is a fair
- 23 conclusion that if someone is under control and
- 24 they don't pose a deadly force threat, then deadly
- 25 force wouldn't be appropriate.

Page 38 Page 40

- 1 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 2 Q. Are Green Bay police officers trained that
- 3 it's permissible to use deadly force to eliminate
- 4 a perceived threat even if there is a risk of some
- 5 crossfire?
- 6 A. Yes. There is an exception to the rule of
- 7 isolation called the greater danger theory.
- 8 Q. And the greater danger theory, that would
- 9 permit the use of deadly force even if there's
- 10 some risk of crossfire?
- 11 A. That is correct.
- 12 Q. And that's something that all Green Bay
- 13 police officers are trained on?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And that's something that is actually in the
- 16 DAT manual, isn't it?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. So that's something that all Wisconsin
- 19 certified law enforcement officers are trained,
- 20 right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. All right.
- MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Let's take a
- 24 ten-minute break if that's okay with everyone
- 25 else.

1

- 2 Q. The annual training, how much -- what is
- 3 that, like a 24-hour requirement or something like
- 4 that?

1 A. No.

- 5 A. That's the minimum Wisconsin requirement.
- 6 Q. All right. How many hours does the Green Bay
- 7 Police Department offer?
- 8 A. They offer the standard 24 hours to all sworn
- 9 personnel. Additional training is given to
- 10 officers and trainers with various disciplines.
- 11 Q. All right. Are there -- if you're just, you
- 12 know, a patrol officer without any specialty, then
- 13 you just get the 24-hour minimum requirement?
- 14 A. Yes, and whatever training you might send
- 15 yourself through.
- 16 Q. So there's some optional additional training
- 17 that can be taken?
- 18 A. If the officers choose to put themselves
- 19 through at their own expense, yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. I see.
- 21 The curriculum for those 24 hours,
- 22 does that change every year or is that static?
- 23 A. That changes each year. And that curriculum
- 24 is created by our professional standards division
- 25 under the direction of Captain Balza.

Page 39

1 Q. All right. Does the training on use of force

- 2 that's offered in that -- for the police
- 3 department through the annual training, when was
- 4 the last time that was updated or changed?
- 5 A. I don't know. In the context of that
- 6 question, updated or changed, I don't know.
- 7 Q. When was the last time it was offered?
- 8 A. The firearms training is offered annually.
- 9 Q. Is there any other use of force training
- 10 that's offered?
- 11 A. Yes. TASER training is offered every two
- 12 years, and then we will have occasional on-shift
- 13 training on various topics.
- 14 Q. When there's something that's offered, like
- 15 the TASER training, say, is it -- can police
- 16 officers choose which classes they go to up to 24
- 17 hours or do they have to take a certain suite of
- 18 classes to meet their 24-hour requirement?
- 19 A. They must take the training offered by the
- 20 Green Bay Police Department. We do it in-house
- 21 with our trainers.
- 22 Q. All right. And so those 24 hours, that's the
- 23 same for everybody, same courses?
- 24 A. Yes. The 24 hours, yes.
- 25 Q. So it's not like there's 36 hours and you can

MR. GUNTA: Okay.

2 (A break was taken from 3:12 p.m.

- 3 until 3:20 p.m.)
- 4 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 5 Q. Sergeant Knetzger -- did I say that right?
- 7 Q. Great. How often is the police academy
- 8 curriculum updated?
- 9 A. That is a Department of Justice function. I
- 10 do not know. The regional police academies are
- 11 updated when the Department of Justice directs
- 12 them to be updated.
- 13 Q. So that's something that happens at the level
- 14 of the State of Wisconsin?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. How often is the curriculum for the Green Bay
- 17 Police Department mini academy updated?
- 18 A. I don't know the answer to that question.
- 19 Q. All right. Do you know when the last time it
- 20 was updated was?
- 21 A. No. Outside of updates -- to my knowledge,
- 22 the academy training provided to Green Bay police
- 23 officers is consistent with the most recent
- 24 curriculum.
- 25 Q. So you don't know when the last update was?

- 1 just -- of courses and you can just pick 24 hours
- 2 worth of courses that you want to do. It's a set
- 3 24-hour course curriculum for each officer?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And I presume the training of each officer is
- 6 maintained on a record somewhere?
- 7 A. Yes, within the professional standards
- 8 division, run by Captain Balza.
- 9 Q. Has any of the training that the Green Bay
- 10 Police Department offers, whether, you know, the
- 11 24 hours, any additional courses, the mini
- 12 academy, changed as a result of this incident
- 13 involving Mr. Tubby?
- 14 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 15 Q. Has any of the training that's offered by the
- 16 Green Bay Police Department, again in whatever
- 17 form, changed as a result of the recent incident
- 18 with George Floyd?
- MR. GUNTA: Object to the form of
- 20 the question and scope.
- THE WITNESS: No, because our
- 22 training that we offer our officers, it is our
- 23 stance that we do not utilize those tactics that
- 24 were used in that event.
- 25 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Can you point me towards where in this policy

Page 45

- 3 is the training on the duty to intervene that you
- 4 were discussing a moment ago?
- 5 A. 300.2.1, duty to intercede.
- 6 Q. So that is on the bottom of the first page?
- 7 A. That is correct.
- 8 Q. So this is -- this is a policy manual
- 9 chapter, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. This isn't like the -- this isn't a training
- 12 outline or training materials, per se?
- 13 A. No, but there's training related to this
- 14 subject.
- 15 Q. All right. So how much training do officers
- 16 receive on this subject?
- 17 A. Within the professional communications
- 18 manual, there is a subject universally known as an
- 19 officer override. An officer override is very
- 20 similar to the duty to intercede.
- 21 Q. So that professional communications manual
- 22 that you're referring to, that's something that's
- 23 published by the Wisconsin DOJ?
- 24 A. Yes. And adopted by the City of Green Bay.
- 25 Q. So in there they talk about officer override.

- 1 Q. What kind of training does the Green Bay
- 2 Police Department offer its officers or require
- 3 its officers to take regarding the duty to
- 4 intervene to prevent unconstitutional force by
- 5 another officer?
- MR. GUNTA: Object to the scope and
- 7 the form.
- Go ahead.
- THE WITNESS: There is a statement
- 10 within our DAT policy that relates to that. And 10 professional communications section. Every
- 11 should say our defensive and arrest tactics
- 12 policy -- or use of force policy, to be more
- 13 accurate.
- 14 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 15 Q. So are you referring to like the Green Bay
- 16 use of force policy, what is it, 300?
- 17 A. It should be -- yeah, in the policy section,
- 18 there should be a statement that addresses your
- 19 question.
- 20 Q. All right. So let's go to Exhibit Warych
- 21 12 -- oh, no, sorry. That's the wrong one.
- 22 Exhibit Warych 11.
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Q. All right. So this is the Green Bay Police
- 25 Department use of force policy, correct?

- 1 I've seen that before. But again, is that --
- 2 that's not like a training outline or something.
- 3 So how can I know how much training on the duty to
- 4 intervene is given to Green Bay police officers?
- 5 A. You would have to reference a lesson plan
- 6 submitted to an academy to see the amount of time
- 7 that was spent on officer overrides. I can tell
- 8 you, in addition to the academy training at the
- 9 Green Bay PD mini academy, I teach the
- 11 officer, including Officer Wernecke, since he was
- 12 mentioned, has been through my training. Not only
- 13 is he given a block of instruction on officer
- 14 overrides; he is also given a scenario on officer
- 15 overrides.
- 16 Q. All right. And that scenario, is that just
- 17 part of the standard curriculum, not just limited
- 18 to Officer Wernecke?
- 19 A. It's part of the standard -- my standard
- 20 curriculum, yes, and I can testify to that.
- 21 O. Does that scenario involve use of force?
- 22 A. It is -- that scenario involves a
- 23 communication scenario of inappropriate
- 24 communication, which could lead to force.
- 25 Q. Right. And officer override, that applies to

12 (Pages 42 - 45)

Page 46 Page 48 1 inappropriate communication and use of force. 1 MR. GUNTA: You just came through 2 Fair? 2 like you were underwater, so it all broke up. 3 Would you read it back to us, ma'am. 3 A. I would agree. 4 4 Q. So of the officer override training and your (The preceding question was read by 5 curriculum, how much is dedicated specifically to 5 the court reporter.) 6 intervening to prevent excessive force? MR. GUNTA: Objection; outside the 6 7 A. The idea of intervening isn't a subject 7 scope, and form. 8 within itself. The idea of intervening is a 8 Go ahead. 9 9 concept that officers -- that's part of the entire THE WITNESS: The -- not only the 10 curriculum. It's just not pigeonholed. So I 10 George Floyd incident, but any time a significant 11 would say there's hours spent on that concept. 11 incident occurs in law enforcement, it causes us 12 Q. In the context of officer override, between 12 to always reexamine our training and our 13 practices. So any incident, not just the George 13 communication and use of force, what proportion of 14 the instruction is on communication and what 14 Floyd incident, always causes us to have 15 proportion is on use of force? 15 self-reflection into our training and our tactics. 16 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 16 MR. GUNTA: Objection, scope and 17 form. 17 Q. So has that self-reflection resulted in any 18 Go ahead, sir. 18 change to the greater emphasized duty to intervene 19 to prevent the use of force? 19 THE WITNESS: Again, you'd have to 20 refer to a lesson plan that is consistent or 20 MR. GUNTA: Same objection; outside 21 derived from this -- the DOJ materials in order to 21 the scope, and form. 22 best answer that question. 22 Go ahead. 23 23 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: THE WITNESS: That topic has been 24 Q. All right. How about when you're giving the 24 discussed, among others. 25 training in your mini academy? 25 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Page 47 Page 49 1 Q. But there has been no change to the training 1 MR. GUNTA: Same objections. 2 2 as of yet? Go ahead, sir. THE WITNESS: My training is a 3 A. No. Because, again, I go back to my previous 4 answer. We believe our training would not create 4 four-hour block. 5 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 5 the environment where that would occur. 6 Q. And how much -- what proportion of that 6 Q. Is there any training that's given regarding 7 relates to communication and what relates to use 7 the practice of having a ride-along? 8 A. There is a policy on a ride-along. 8 of force as it concerns officer override? 9 Q. All right. And are officers trained on that MR. GUNTA: Same objections, scope 10 and form. 10 policy at all? 11 11 A. It's one of the policies that they must read Go ahead. 12 THE WITNESS: It is a theme that I 12 and indicate that they have read, and it's a 13 constantly revisit, so I would argue that it's 13 well-known practice within the police department. 14 contained within the entire training, plus a 14 Q. Are there any requirements as to when a 15 ride-along can be -- when you can have a 15 scenario. 16 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 16 ride-along with you on duty? 17 Q. All right. But the four hours that you 17 MR. GUNTA: I'm going to object as 18 reference, what proportion is communication and 18 outside the scope and form. 19 what proportion is use of force? 19 Go ahead and answer. 20 THE WITNESS: I would have to have 20 A. It's only a communication skills segment, so 21 that's all communication skills. 21 the policy in front of me. I know there is a 22 years-on requirement, but I would be just guessing 22 Q. Going back to the George Floyd incident, you 23 know, that hasn't caused anyone at the Green Bay 23 on the minimum years that an officer must have on 24 Police Department to reexamine how much training 24 to have a ride-along. 25 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 25 is given regarding the duty to intervene?

Page 50 Page 52

- 1 Q. Is there any training about whether it is
- 2 appropriate to bring a ride-along to a situation
- 3 where there may be an armed subject?
- 4 A. It's addressed in the policy.
- 5 Q. And what does the policy say about that?
- 6 A. Is there a copy of the policy that I can
- 7 refer to or would you like me to give you my best
- 8 educated summary?
- 9 Q. If you can point me to a number, I can try to
- 10 pull it up if I have it. If you don't know a
- 11 number, then you're going to have to just give me
- 12 your best idea and summary.
- 13 A. I don't have the number in front of me, but
- 14 the guidelines are --
- MR. GUNTA: I'm going to object to
- 16 scope and to form.
- 17 But go ahead.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I believe the
- 19 guidelines are that individuals who are --
- 20 civilian individuals who are involved in a
- 21 ride-along should not be brought to a weapons
- 22 scene. There used to be a rule that they -- we
- 23 would drop them off at a safe location. We have
- 24 kind of gotten away from that due to the potential
- 25 risk it may pose. Ideally, the officer should not

- 1 assuming that there may be some potential
- 2 indicators, like I see a bulge here or a bulge
- 3 there, or are we just merely being unambiguous and
- 4 saying we don't know?
- 5 Q. Let's say there's a bulge. You don't know if
- 6 it's a weapon or not. How are officers trained?
- 7 You know, I assume there's some sort of decision
- 8 tree about where to go if they're armed or
- 9 unarmed. But, you know, help me out in
- 10 understanding what the training is when it's
- 11 ambiguous because it's a bulge but we don't know
- 12 if it's a weapon.
- MR. GUNTA: Objection to scope and
- 14 form.
- 15 Go ahead.
- 16 THE WITNESS: The police department
- 17 has offered training sponsored by the FBI on the
- 18 characteristics of armed gunmen. In that training
- 19 officers are trained to look for bulges, if you
- 20 will, physiological indicators, clothing
- 21 indicators that may indicate whether or not
- 22 somebody is armed.
- 23 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 24 Q. All right. So if they're going -- you know,
- 25 you mentioned that a lot of these situations are

Page 51

- 1 go unless the incident is of such magnitude where
- 2 the officer must respond and then to drop off the
- 3 individual at a safe location.
- 4 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 5 Q. It seems to me that some of the training that
- 6 is offered in different scenarios is different
- 7 between an armed and an unarmed subject; is that
- 8 fair?
- 9 MR. GUNTA: Objection to the form.
- 10 Go ahead.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Again, the scenario
- 12 would dictate everything, so you obviously create
- 13 scenarios for armed incidents and unarmed
- 14 incidents.
- 15 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 16 Q. Is there any training about how to determine
- 17 whether someone is armed when it's ambiguous?
- MR. GUNTA: Objection to the form of
- 19 the question and the scope.
- Go ahead and answer.
- 21 THE WITNESS: When you use the word
- 22 "ambiguous," are you referring to unclear?
- 23 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 24 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. And when you're referring to unclear, are you

- 1 dynamic and just require the application of
- 2 tactics: is that fair?
- 3 A. I'm not sure if I mentioned that, but
- 4 oftentimes use of force events are rapidly
- 5 unfolding and dynamic.
- 6 Q. So given the dynamic nature and the different
- 7 response that officers would have if someone was
- 8 armed versus unarmed, how are they trained to make
- 9 that call when it's -- if it's unclear?
- 10 MR. GUNTA: Object to the scope and
- 11 form.
- Go ahead.
- 13 THE WITNESS: They are going to rely
- 14 upon their training and experience from what
- 15 they've received in the police academy, to any
- 16 specialized training and their experiences in the
- 17 field. Every officer is different.
- 18 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 19 Q. If an officer perceives or believes someone
- 20 to be armed, are they trained to communicate that
- 21 fact to other law enforcement officers?
- 22 A. Ideally, yes.
- 23 Q. And are they trained to specifically say that
- 24 the suspect is armed or has a gun?
- 25 A. I would not -- I don't think the word

Page 54 Page 56

- 1 "specific" is an accurate characterization, but
- 2 ideally, if time permits, they should convey
- 3 something to indicate that the person is armed.
- 4 Q. All right. If they believe the person is
- 5 armed, then wouldn't you agree that it's
- 6 important, if time permitting, to say that the
- 7 person is armed rather than that the person has
- 8 just something in their hands or something similar
- 9 like that?
- MR. GUNTA: Objection on scope and
- 11 form.
- Go ahead.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Ideally, the more
- 14 specific the language, the better.
- 15 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 16 Q. And how about kind of language -- are they
- 17 trained to use any particular language if they
- 18 believe someone is armed?
- 19 MR. GUNTA: Objection; scope and
- 20 form.
- 21 Go ahead.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. In the academy
- 23 training that I have been a part of, we have
- 24 trained them to use phrasing that is clear and
- 25 simple, such as "gun" or "knife."
- Page 55
- 1 MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: All right.
- 2 Let's take another just short, quick break.
- 3 (A break was taken from 3:41 p.m.
- 4 until 3:44 p.m.)
- 5 MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: On topic 11,
- 6 the witness mentioned that he would be prepared to
- 7 talk about that. The same thing was said by
- 8 Commander Warych. And I think with respect to
- 9 Commander Warych, the City indicated its
- 10 preference was just to leave topic 11 for next
- 11 week when we have Chief Smith. And I just want to
- 12 confirm that that is also the case for Sergeant
- 13 Knetzger here.
- MR. GUNTA: Can you give us a
- 15 second, please? I want to ask the witness
- 16 something.
- 17 (Off the record briefly.)
- 18 MR. GUNTA: Forrest, I just had a
- 19 brief conversation with the sergeant, and the
- 20 sergeant is clearly capable and available and
- 21 ready to testify on the training regarding the use
- 22 of OC spray in confined spaces such as a vehicle
- 23 and probably the most qualified to do that.
- MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: Well, I'm fine
- 25 to ask him some questions about it as long as you

- 1 agree that it's not prejudicing my ability to
- 2 revisit topic 11 with Chief Smith next week.
- 3 MR. GUNTA: I see no problem with
- 4 that. I think what the -- I'm just going to state
- 5 this on the record. I think what the issue was
- 6 this morning or earlier today with the commander
- 7 was, he wasn't prepared on the policies, practices
- 8 and customs, and that was a problem. So let's
- 9 proceed. I'm not going to say that you have
- 10 exhausted your area of inquiry on this topic
- 11 today, but I will say that this officer is the --
- 12 this sergeant is the most qualified to testify on
- 13 behalf of the corporation, the training, the use
- 14 of OC spray in confined spaces such as a vehicle.
- 15 MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: All right.
- 16 Great.
- 17 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 18 Q. Then why don't you tell me, Sergeant
- 19 Knetzger, what is the Green Bay police training on
- 20 using the OC spray in confined spaces such as a
- 21 vehicle?
- 22 A. And did you say the police training? Because
- 23 it did break up there.
- 24 Q. Yeah.
- 25 A. So the OC spray curriculum does not
- Page 57
- 1 necessarily address deploying OC spray in the back
- 2 of a vehicle. It also doesn't specifically say
- 3 you can only deploy OC spray in X, Y, or Z
- 4 environment. So regardless as to where OC spray
- 5 is deployed, you're still going to have to follow
- 6 the same protocols.
- 7 Q. Is there any location where it is prohibited
- 8 or trained not to deploy OC spray?
- 9 A. There are some environments where we would
- 10 like to avoid it. Like, for example, in a
- 11 hospital we try to avoid it because it will get
- 12 sucked up into all the ventilation system and
- 13 possibly create a hazard to other patients that
- 14 are within the environment. So that would be one
- 15 area we would not ideally -- it's not prohibited,
- 16 but ideally not to do.
- 17 Q. Are there any other environments where you
- 18 train your officers that it is less than ideal to
- 19 use OC spray?
- 20 A. Again, I can come up with various scenarios.
- 21 The general rule of thumb is, you don't want to
- 22 deploy a less lethal device where it could create
- 23 a lethal outcome. Like, for example, you wouldn't
- 24 use a less lethal device on a suicidal subject who
- 25 is on a bridge.

- 1 Q. Okay. Any other example that you can think
- 2 of?
- 3 A. Not at the moment.
- 4 Q. All right. So as far as locations where
- 5 training says that OC spray may not be
- 6 appropriate, hospitals is the main one?
- 7 A. Yes. That's the most consistent one, I
- 8 believe.
- 9 Q. Are there any other spaces, just because of
- 10 their confined nature, where OC spray is
- 11 considered to be -- have undesirable effects, like
- 12 due to just the confined nature of the space?
- 13 A. Well, I'm not aware of OC ever having any
- 14 desirable effect. I've been exposed to it many
- 15 times. So no. It's used in jails in confined
- 16 spaces all the time.
- 17 Q. How about greater than an intended effect due
- 18 to the confined nature? Anyplace where it's
- 19 discouraged due to a greater effect, due to the
- 20 confined nature of the environment?
- 21 A. No, not that I can think of.
- 22 Q. All right. As far as the protocol for
- 23 deploying OC spray, OC spray is only supposed to
- 24 be deployed against active resistive subjects; is
- 25 that fair?

- 1 spray -- two short paragraphs.
- 2 Q. All right. And that's 303.7, 303.7.1?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Those are the two paragraphs?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Are you familiar with different cannister
- 7 sizes of OC spray?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. Is there a cannister size that's known as an
- 10 MK-19?
- 11 A. The MK-19 is referring to a brand name, I
- 12 believe. Each brand has various different
- 13 cannister sizes. Some are on an officer's belt;
- 14 others are larger, with more aerosol in it.
- 15 Q. Okay. So are you familiar with MK-19?
- 16 A. I'm familiar with that brand.
- 17 Q. How large of a cannister is that?
- 18 A. I don't know the exact size of the cannister.
- 19 And again, you may be referring to the model or
- 20 the size of the cannister, so I'm not sure.
- 21 O. So others have testified that MK refers to
- 22 like the brand and the number, like 3, 9, 19,
- 23 refers to the size; is that accurate?
- 24 A. That sounds accurate.
- 25 Q. But are you not familiar with the specific

Page 59

- 1 A. Active resistance or its threat.
- 2 Q. All right. Do you train your officers to
- 3 give a warning before deploying OC spray?
- 4 A. Ideally, a warning is -- should be given if
- 5 the scenario allows for it. It's not an absolute.
- 6 Q. All right. If practical, a warning should be 7 given?
- 8 A. That sounds fair.
- 9 Q. All right. I want to mark an exhibit here.
- 10 Let me find the right one.
- (Exhibit 1 was marked for
- 12 identification.)
- 13 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 14 Q. There should be one that pops up in front of
- 15 you that's called -- Knetzger was too long. But
- 16 it's called Knetzge-1.
- 17 A. I have it.
- 18 Q. Have you ever seen this document before?
- 19 A. Yes, I have.
- 20 O. What is it?
- 21 A. Green Bay Police Department policy 303,
- 22 control devices and impact weapons.
- 23 Q. Is this the Green Bay Police Department
- 24 policy that would govern the use of OC spray?
- 25 A. Yes. There is a short paragraph on OC

- 1 size of MK-19?
 - 2 A. No. I would have to look at some data to
 - 3 refresh my memory.
 - 4 Q. Is it true that Green Bay trains its police
 - 5 officers to get medical attention for someone if
 - 6 they've been sprayed with OC and have contact
 - 7 lenses?
 - 8 A. I don't know if that is a requirement.
 - 9 Q. But is it encouraged for someone to seek
 - 10 medical attention for someone that's been sprayed
 - 11 with OC if the person sprayed has contact lenses?
 - 12 A. The City of Green Bay adopts the DAT manual.
 - 13 The DAT manual has a section on OC spray. It
 - 14 indicates people with contact lenses, at some
 - 15 point when the scene is safe, you can -- you have
 - 16 some options. You could allow them to remove the
 - 17 lenses themselves. You could also, if the
 - 18 scenario dictates, have them get medical
 - 19 attention.
 - 20 Q. And that's because some of the spray will get
 - 21 trapped underneath the lens and cause a chemical
 - 22 burn on the eye?
 - 23 A. The -- I don't think the phrase "burn" is
 - 24 accurate. It could cause some additional
 - 25 prolonged irritation.

Page 62 Page 64

- 1 Q. All right. If you go to where you're pulling
- 2 up the exhibits, are you able to see folders that
- 3 have depositions of other people, with other names
- 4 and dates on them?
- 5 A. Okay. I have depositions -- deposition of
- 6 City of Green Bay July 10th, today. And then
- 7 marked exhibits. That's what I see.
- 8 Q. All right. So you don't have other folders
- 9 for like deposition of Brown County or something
- 10 like that?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. All right. Let me -- give me a second here
- 13 then just to get an exhibit ready that was marked
- 14 in a prior depo.
- 15 MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I'm having
- 16 technical difficulty with opening this file, so
- 17 let's just take a break for a second, and I will
- 18 be back when I get this video working.
- 19 (A break was taken from 3:59 p.m.
- 20 until 4:13 p.m., after which time Exhibit 2 was
- 21 marked for identification.)
- 22 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 23 Q. Sergeant Knetzger, I'd like you to go to the
- 24 41-minute mark of the video that has been marked
- 25 as Knetzge Exhibit 2. This is the video that was

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. When would Green Bay train its officers to
- 3 use a cannister of that size?
- 4 A. From a training perspective, a cannister of
- 5 that size is carried by road supervisors and
- 6 sergeants and by members of the SWAT team.
- 7 Q. All right. And for what purpose are they
- 8 trained to use those larger cannisters of OC
- 9 spray?
- 10 A. A cannister of OC spray of that size can be
- 11 used for anything from crowd control, to multiple
- 12 individuals that might be present, to disperse
- 13 into a confined space, such as an apartment or
- 14 house, to cover a distance that may not be as
- 15 effective with a belt-sized OC spray. This
- 16 cannister has a greater range compared to the ones
- 17 that an officer carries on their belt.
- 18 Q. Do you know about how many ounces of OC spray
- 19 are in a cannister like that?
- 20 A. No, I don't. I'd be guessing.
- 21 Q. And the purpose of training -- the purpose of
- 22 using OC spray is to get a reaction from the
- 23 person that's hit with the spray, correct?
- MR. GUNTA: Object to the form of
- 25 the question.

Page 63

1 produced with Bates number DOXT DA00000622.

- 2 A. Okav.
- 3 Q. You're at the 41-minute mark?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you see the BearCat?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And there's an officer in the turret?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And that's Officer Eric Allen. Do you know
- 10 him?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And you see he's holding a blue cannister?
- 13 A. I can see a blue cannister, yes.
- 14 Q. Do you recognize that as a cannister of OC
- 15 spray?
- 16 A. It could be a cannister of OC spray. It
- 17 could also be another chemical munition, but it's
- 18 a cannister of a chemical munition.
- 19 Q. Assuming that is a cannister of OC spray,
- 20 would you have any idea as to the size of that
- 21 cannister?
- 22 A. It just looks larger than what you carry on
- 23 your duty belt.
- 24 Q. When would Green Bay -- does Green Bay have
- 25 cannisters that are about that size?

- 1 Go ahead, sir.
- 2 THE WITNESS: It is to stop active
- 3 resistance or its threat. That's the purpose.
- 4 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 5 Q. All right. So in training officers using OC
- 6 spray, you know that it may not stop the
- 7 resistance, right?
- 8 A. Correct. It is not effective all the time.
- 9 Q. But even in times when it's not effective
- 10 with stopping resistance, it may have an effect on
- 11 the person, right?
- MR. GUNTA: Object to the form.
- Go ahead.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Everybody is affected
- 15 by OC spray differently.
- 16 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 17 Q. But the purpose -- or not the purpose, but
- 18 the expected outcome of hitting someone with OC
- 19 spray is to get some form of reaction?
- 20 A. It is to get compliance.
- 21 Q. You hope for compliance, but you know you'll
- 22 probably get at least some kind of reaction.
- 23 Fair?
- 24 A. When you refer to reaction, it's -- the
- 25 reaction is going to depend on its effect.

Page 68 1 Everyone is going to react differently. 1 case? 2 Q. On what percent of people does it have no 2 MR. GUNTA: Objection to form. 3 effect? 3 You can answer. 4 A. Do you want an empirical answer or do you THE WITNESS: Because I go back to 5 want a trainer's experience? 5 my same answer much. It's not volume of OC spray 6 Q. Whatever kind of answer you're able to give 6 that creates the effect; it's the Scoville Heat 7 me. 7 Units that creates the effect. What typically 8 A. From my personal experience, OC spray is 8 determines its difference is where it hits 9 effective about 85 percent of the time. 9 somebody on the body. Chest, versus neck, versus 10 Q. Okay. So the strong majority of the time, it 10 forehead, versus nose, versus eyes. 11 will have -- it will create a reaction in the 11 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 12 person that's sprayed. Fair? 12 Q. So if someone is sprayed in the eyes, is that 13 MR. GUNTA: Object to form of the 13 the worst? 14 question. 14 MR. GUNTA: Object to form of the 15 Go ahead. 15 question. THE WITNESS: And the extent of the 16 THE WITNESS: I think that calls for 17 reaction will depend upon the individual. 17 an opinion. Do you want my opinion? 18 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 18 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 19 Q. And the extent of the reaction will also 19 O. Sure. 20 depend on the amount of OC spray used. Fair? 20 MR. GUNTA: Hold on a second. 21 A. I don't think that's fair. 21 Objection to scope and to form. 22 Q. So if I get sprayed in the face for one 22 Go ahead. 23 second versus ten seconds, you would expect the 23 THE WITNESS: I disagree with that 24 same reaction? 24 statement. The ideal location is just above the 25 A. Yes. I would expect a similar reaction. 25 eyes due to what is called a splashback effect. Page 67 Page 69 1 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 1 Q. All right. You would expect there to be a 2 greater reaction with more OC spray, wouldn't you? 2 Q. So just above the eyes is the ideal place to 3 A. In my experience, I have -- I have been 3 hit someone? 4 A. Yes. 4 exposed to OC spray four times. I don't recommend 5 it. And I have exposed people to OC spray by 5 Q. All right. So assuming the same person is 6 taking a cotton swab and putting it underneath 6 hit in the same location, just above the eyes, 7 their eyes. And again, everybody is different. I 7 wouldn't you agree that more OC spray would cause 8 have had similar effects of a one-second burst and 8 a greater effect? 9 a swab underneath an eye when it comes to an 9 MR. GUNTA: Objection to form. 10 exposure of OC spray. I've had contrasting 10 Go ahead, sir. THE WITNESS: No, I do not agree. 11 effects. So everybody's reaction to it is going 11 12 to be individual dependent. 12 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: 13 Q. Well, for you, in the four times you were 13 Q. So even though OC spray has -- it has some 14 exposed, did you ever get exposed with more or 14 essential oils from peppers in it, right, 15 less spray or was it always the same amount? 15 something like that? 16 A. No. I've been exposed to various quantities. 16 A. Correct. It's not something like that. It's 17 Q. Well, wouldn't you agree that the time that 17 from peppers. 18 you were exposed to the greatest quantity was the 18 Q. And it's specifically the oils from the 19 most unpleasant? 20 A. Not in my experience, no. It's not -- it's 20 A. Oleoresin capsicum is what it stands for. 21 not the quantity of the OC spray that determines 21 Q. Fair enough. Which means that there's oils 22 its effect. It is the Scoville Heat Units. 22 from the --

23 A. The pepper plant.

25 A. Uh-huh.

24 Q. -- from the pepper plant?

23 Q. Well, it seems sort of like common sense to

24 me that the more spray you get, the stronger it

25 is. So can you explain why that wouldn't be the

Page 70 Page 72

- 1 Q. And the pepper is what gives it its Scoville
- 2 Heat Units, right?
- 3 A. It sure does.
- 4 Q. So you're telling me that it doesn't matter
- 5 if you're hit with ten milliliters versus one
- 6 milliliter of the exact same spray from the same
- 7 pepper plant? Is that what you're telling me?
- 8 A. I'm telling you, based upon my training and
- 9 experience, it is not the quantity of OC that
- 10 determines its effect; it is the Scoville Heat
- 11 Units. And again, back to my previous answer, the
- 12 location of where it's dispersed.
- 13 Q. So if you have one milliliter of 1,000
- 14 Scoville Heat Units, it's going to affect you the
- 15 same as one liter of one of the same substance
- 16 that's rated at 1,000 Scoville Heat Units. Is
- 17 that what you're telling me?
- MR. GUNTA: Objection to the form of
- 19 the question.
- Go ahead.
- 21 THE WITNESS: I think that is a --
- 22 I'm just going to go back to my previous response.
- 23 In my experience, the quantity of OC spray is not
- 24 the determining factor.
- 25 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:

- 1 location is hit for its desired effect.
- 2 Q. And you mentioned the desired effect being
- 3 compliance with law enforcement; is that right?
- 4 A. The -- no. The desire -- the purpose of
- 5 desired effect of utilizing OC spray is to stop
- 6 active resistance or its threat. That's what
- 7 it's --
- 8 Q. And how specifically does OC spray induce or
- 9 cause the stopping of active resistance or the
- 10 threat of active resistance?
- 11 A. Well, when OC -- when OC spray is effective,
- 12 it causes a burning sensation. That's a layman's
- 13 term. The way that I describe it is it feels like
- 14 very hot sand is in your eyes, and every time you
- 15 blink, you can feel that in there. It causes the
- 16 hands to come to the face, and it causes most
- 17 people to want to go to the ground, because the
- 18 first thing they seek is safety to the ground. So
- 19 they want to go to the ground and find the ground.
- 20 And then when you have them on the ground, you can
- 21 give the surrender ritual commands and then safely
- 22 take them into custody.
- 23 Q. You said "surrender ritual commands." What
- 24 are those?
- 25 A. That is a universal phrase to describe when

Page 71

- 1 Q. Well, I'm not saying it is the determining
- 2 factor. The determining factor is multifaceted;
- 3 between location, individual reaction and also
- 4 quantity. Fair?
- 5 A. I believe the greater weight is given to
- 6 Scoville Heat Units.
- 7 Q. Right. But quantity plays a part, at least
- 8 some part, in how strong the reaction will be?
- 9 A. Sure. It may be a factor, but in my
- 10 experience, it's not the determining factor.
- 11 Q. All right. But it is a factor. Fair?
- 12 A. Sure. We'll agree that it's a factor.
- 12 A. Sure. We'll agree that it's a factor
- 13 Q. All right.
- MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: We've been
- 15 going a while. Let's take a short break.
- 16 (A break was taken from 4:23 p.m.
- 17 until 4:27 p.m.)
- 18 THE WITNESS: Counsel and court
- 19 reporter, can I add one thing about the OC spray
- 20 quantity?
- 21 BY MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH:
- 22 Q. It's fine with me, yeah.
- 23 A. I just wanted to add that another reason for
- 24 the quantity is -- or where it's beneficial is for
- 25 target acquisition and ensuring that the ideal

- 1 officers tell people that they are under arrest,
- 2 stay on the ground, hands out to your sides, palms
- 3 up and don't move. That's the surrender ritual.
- 4 Q. And we talked before, it's advisable, it's
- 5 practical to give a warning and ask someone to
- 6 surrender before deploying OC in the first place?
- 7 A. In an ideal circumstance, yes.
- 8 Q. All right.
- 9 MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: I don't have
- 10 any further questions for you at this time. I
- 11 really appreciate your time this afternoon and
- 12 waiting around all morning. I appreciate that
- 13 too. So thank you.
 - THE WITNESS: All right.
- MR. CASTRO: I don't have any
- 16 questions.

14

- 17 THE WITNESS: Questions, Jose?
- MR. CASTRO: No, no questions.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Sorry I addressed you
- 20 by your first name, by the way.
- 21 MR. CASTRO: That's okay.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Am I excused?
- 23 MR. TAHDOOAHNIPPAH: If Gregg has no
- 24 questions, then yes.
- MR. GUNTA: I have no questions.

Page 74	Page 76
1 (The deposition was concluded at	1 Veritext Legal Solutions
2 4:30 p.m.)	1100 Superior Ave 2 Suite 1820
3	Cleveland, Ohio 44114
	3 Phone: 216-523-1313
4	4 July 24 2020
5	July 24, 2020 5
6	To: Mr. Gunta
7	6 Coop Names Donataton Cooper Fet All or O'Philip Feilly Fet All
8	Case Name: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al.
	Veritext Reference Number: 4148049
9	8 W. G. (M. V.) D. (7/10/2020
10	Witness: Sergeant Mike Knetzger Deposition Date: 7/10/2020
11	10 Dear Sir/Madam:
12	
13	Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness 12
14	review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the
	13
15	included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and
16	the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature notarized and
17	15
18	forward the completed page(s) back to us at the Production address 16 shown
19	17 above, or email to production-midwest@veritext.com.
20	18
	If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of 19
21	this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.
22	20
23	21 Sincerely, 22 Production Department
24	23
25	24 25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA
	25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA
	` `
Page 75	Page 77
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW
Page 75 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF WISCONSIN	Page 77
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049
Page 75 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF WISCONSIN I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green	Page 77 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al.
Page 75 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF WISCONSIN I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049
Page 75 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF WISCONSIN I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil
Page 75 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF WISCONSIN I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first duly swom to tell the whole truth; That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of
Page 75 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF WISCONSIN I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first duly sworn to tell the whole truth; That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony of the witness; reading and signing was not	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil
Page 75 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF WISCONSIN I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first duly swom to tell the whole truth; That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. V. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.
Page 75 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF WISCONSIN I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first duly sworn to tell the whole truth; That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. That the cost of the original has been charged to	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony
Page 75 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF WISCONSIN I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first duly sworn to tell the whole truth; That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. That the cost of the original has been charged to the party who noticed the deposition, and that all	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly sworn to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. Vo'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly swom to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly sworn to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or relative or	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that:
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly sworn to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or relative or 12 employee of such attorney or counsel;	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly sworn to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or relative or 12 employee of such attorney or counsel; 13 That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly swom to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or relative or 12 employee of such attorney or counsel; 13 That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or 14 persons with an interest in the action that affects	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly sworn to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or relative or 12 employee of such attorney or counsel; 13 That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or 14 persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect my	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed.
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly swom to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or relative or 12 employee of such attorney or counsel; 13 That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or 14 persons with an interest in the action that affects	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly sworn to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or relative or 12 employee of such attorney or counsel; 13 That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or 14 persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect my 15 impartiality. WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 23rd day of July,	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly swom to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or relative or 12 employee of such attorney or counsel; 13 That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or 14 persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect my 15 impartiality. 16 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 23rd day of July, 17 2020.	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this day of, 20
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly sworn to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or relative or 12 employee of such attorney or counsel; 13 That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or 14 persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect my 15 impartiality. WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 23rd day of July,	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly sworn to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or relative or 12 employee of such attorney or counsel; 13 That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or 14 persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect my 15 impartiality. 16 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 23rd day of July, 17 2020. 18 19 20	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this day of, 20
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly sworn to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or relative or 12 employee of such attorney or counsel; 13 That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or 14 persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect my 15 impartiality. WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 23rd day of July, 17 2020. 18 19 20 21	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. V. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this day of, 20 17 18 Notary Public
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly swom to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or relative or 12 employee of such attorney or counsel; 13 That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or 14 persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect my 15 impartiality. 16 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 23rd day of July, 17 2020. 18 19 20 21 22	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this day of, 20 17 18 Notary Public Commission Expiration Date
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly sworn to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or relative or 12 employee of such attorney or counsel; 13 That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or 14 persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect my 15 impartiality. WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 23rd day of July, 17 2020. 18 19 20 21	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. V. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this day of, 20 17 18 Notary Public
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly sworn to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or relative or 12 employee of such attorney or counsel; 13 That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or 14 persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect my 15 impartiality. 16 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 23rd day of July, 17 2020. 18 19 20 21 22 23 Faula Richter Paula K. Richter, RMR, CRR, CRC	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this day of, 20 17 18 Notary Public Commission Expiration Date 20 21 22
Page 75 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WISCONSIN 3 I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of 4 SERGEANT MIKE KNETZGER, on July 10, 2020, in Green Bay, Wisconsin, and that the witness was by me first 5 duly sworn to tell the whole truth; 6 That the testimony was transcribed by me to the best of my ability and is a true record of the testimony 7 of the witness; reading and signing was not reserved. 8 That the cost of the original has been charged to 9 the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the 10 same rate for such copies; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or relative or 12 employee of such attorney or counsel; 13 That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or 14 persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect my 15 impartiality. 16 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 23rd day of July, 17 2020. 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 77 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 4148049 3 CASE NAME: Doxtator, Susan, Et Al. v. O'Brien, Erik, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/10/2020 4 WITNESS' NAME: Sergeant Mike Knetzger 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Sergeant Mike Knetzger 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this day of, 20 17 18 Notary Public 19 Commission Expiration Date